

## Disinfection By-product Reduction Using On-Site generated Mixed Oxidants such as ANK-Anolyte in Water Treatment

Summary: Passage of the USEPA D/DBP Rule is driving utilities to look for methods to reduce DBP formation, particularly TTHMs and HAA5. On-site generated mixed oxidants have been demonstrated to reduce DBPs in multiple installations. Features of mixed oxidants contributing to decreased DBPs may include chlorine residual maintenance with a reduced oxidant dose, reduction of biofilms, and enhanced micro flocculation effects.

While chemical disinfectant use in drinking water has drastically reduced disease, these disinfectants react with natural organic material in the raw water to form disinfection by-products (DBPs) that are hazardous to health. Chloroform, bromodichloromethane, dibromochloromethane, and bromoform are all components of total trihalomethanes (TTHMs), which have been found to cause liver, kidney, or central nervous system problems, as well as increased risk of cancer. In 1979, the USEPA set a TTHM limit of 100 micrograms per liter (mg/L) for systems serving more than 10,000 people, defined as "large surface water systems." Amendments to the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) in 1996 attempt to further balance the risks between microbial pathogens and DBPs with the passage of the Stage 1 Disinfectants/ Disinfection By-Product (D/DBP) Rule.

This lowers the permissible limit for TTHMs to 80 mg/L and establishes a new limit for the five halo acetic acids\* (HAAS) of 60 mg/L. These limits will affect all public water systems that add a disinfectant, regardless of their size. The rule went into effect in January 2002 for large surface water systems and will apply in January 2004 to groundwater and small surface water systems.

### CATHOLYTE PRIMARY COMPONENTS:

One initiative aimed at reducing D BPs is the use of mixed oxidants as a disinfectant. On-site generation of a mixed oxidant solution such as ANK- Anolyte, which consists primarily of hypochlorous acid, utilizes a process like the on-site generation of sodium hypochlorite. A brine solution is fed through an electrolytic diaphragm cell, power is applied, and the resulting oxidant solution is created. The style of electrolytic cell and operating parameters determine the formation of straight hypochlorite vs. formation of mixed oxidants such as e.g., ANK-Anolyte.

Mixed oxidants have been documented by numerous agencies to inactivate even chlorine-resistant microorganisms, performing much like ozone or chlorine dioxide. The solution also will leave durable chlorine residual in the distribution system; however, because of the presence of chlorine in the mixed-oxidant solution, the formation of DBPs will still occur. Evidence from several installations, though, shows DBP reductions up to 50 percent. Depending on the level of precursors in the raw water, mixed oxidants may be a viable alternative for DBP reduction while still providing the required chlorine residual.

# Disinfection By-product Reduction Using On-Site generated Mixed Oxidants such as ANK-Anolyte in Water Treatment

## MIXED-OXIDANT CHEMISTRY

Species other than chlorine in the mixed-oxidant solution have not been directly verified due to the complexity and interferences of multi-oxidant chemistry. Classical laboratory methods for the detection of oxidants do not function properly in the presence of a large chlorine matrix; thus, studies on mixed oxidants have detected only chlorine when using these methods. Yet, several performance components demonstrate that the solution contains other chloroxygen species beyond free available chlorine.

The following species can potentially be formed when salt (NaCl) and water (H<sub>2</sub>O) are combined in electrolysis.

### From the low pH anode:

Hypochlorous acid (HOCl), Hypochlorous ion (ClO<sup>-</sup>), Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) and, Various other hydroxyl radicals (OH<sup>•</sup>, OH<sup>-</sup>).

### From the high pH cathode:

Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and Hydrogen gas (H<sub>2</sub>).

While the manufacturer does not claim these various components to be present in the solution, the voltage potential exists for their formation. If formed, these individual components could be recombined into other chloroxygen species.

Although the chemistry of the mixed-oxidant solution is complex and not completely known, the biological evidence is compelling. The most dramatic evidence for the oxidant species is in the ability of the mixed-oxidant solution to inactivate chlorine-resistant organisms, such as *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts, or to achieve substantially higher inactivation levels of other organisms at a lower dose and shorter contact

time than required with hypochlorite. Superior inactivation capability against a multitude of organisms using the mixed-oxidant solution has been demonstrated at several institutions.

Another key indicator for the presence of oxidants other than chlorine in the mixed-oxidant solution is a reduction in TTHMs and HAA5, as demonstrated at several water utilities that replaced conventional chlorination with mixed-oxidant technology. Although this phenomenon is not seen at every site, no installation ever observed DBP levels with mixed oxidants above the levels produced by chlorine. In fact, in the great majority of mixed-oxidant installations, both TTHMs and HAA5 are typically reduced by 30-50 percent compared to levels formed with chlorine.

# Disinfection By-product Reduction Using On-Site generated Mixed Oxidants such as ANK-Anolyte in Water Treatment

## THEORIES FOR BEHAVIORAL DIFFERENCES

Significant behavioral differences between mixed oxidants and chlorine have been observed both in laboratory testing and field installations, although only chlorine can be measured in the mixed-oxidant solution. There are several proposed explanations for the reduction in DBP formation in contrast to hypochlorite. These theories include reduction of biofilm, improved chlorine residual at a reduced dosage, and micro flocculation to remove precursors.

## BIOFILM REDUCTION

Evidence of the ability of mixed oxidants to help reduce biofilm and algae from the distribution system and clarifiers exists. Bio growth able to survive with chlorine as a disinfectant is typically eliminated shortly after conversion to mixed oxidants. Within the first few months of installation of a mixed-oxidant generator, distribution system flushing may be required to remove detached biosolids until the system has stabilized.

Once past the stabilization process, surfaces remain free of biofilm or algae if mixed oxidants are used.

The ultimate effect is the reduction of organic material available to react with chlorine, thus reducing DBP formation. Elimination of biofilms in the distribution system means DBP levels in distribution would not be substantially higher than levels seen at the treatment plant. Data from a study done by H.R. Green Engineers demonstrates this effect.<sup>6</sup> Over three months of study utilizing mixed-oxidant disinfection, the levels of TTHMs in distribution dropped more rapidly than the levels at the plant. When the site first converted to mixed oxidants, TTHMs in distribution was 36 percent higher than levels at the plant. After three months of continuous operation, TTHMs in distribution was only 14 percent higher than at the plant. Overall, the TTHM reduction in distribution was twice as great as at the plant.

Biofilm reduction was also observed at Diana Water Supply Corporation in Texas where two pipelines needed simultaneous repair. At that time, chlorine gas was used to disinfect one line and mixed oxidants were used to disinfect the other line. The line disinfected with chlorine contained biofilm only 200 feet from the disinfection station. The line break in the mixed-oxidant line occurred about half a mile from the disinfection station, so the expectation was an even more contaminated line. On the contrary, the pipe exposed to mixed oxidant treatment was spotless, as though it had never been used. Water from both sources was from the same aquifer and both lines were installed decades ago.

## BETTER RESIDUAL AT A LOWER DOSE

The free available chlorine (FAC) residual from mixed oxidants is more robust than the FAC residual from traditional chlorination. The mixed-oxidant FAC residual can endure for very long distances and stays in the lines for a much longer period. This is likely related to the removal of substances such as biofilm, which creates oxidant demand within the distribution system. Correspondingly, treatment plants typically notice a reduction in the required dose at the plant to maintain the same residual at the end of the line. After the distribution system has stabilized, most mixed-oxidant users report a 30 percent reduction in dose at the clear well, a tank or reservoir of filtered water used to backwash a filter. A secondary effect of the reduced dosage is a correlated reduction in DBP formation. Since chlorine requirements have decreased, there is less chlorine in the system that can react with organic matter.

# Disinfection By-product Reduction Using On-Site generated Mixed Oxidants such as ANK-Anolyte in Water Treatment

## MICRO FLOCCULATION

Micro flocculation is defined as enhanced flocculation producing either a reduction in coagulant demand for the same final (filtered water) turbidity or a reduction in final turbidity at the same coagulant demand. An improved clarification process will demonstrate reductions in turbidity, DBP formation, and chemical addition for such chemicals as alum and polymer.

By enhancing the coagulation process, greater removal of precursors for DBP formation is achieved. A variety of installations using mixed oxidants in pre-treatment report a micro flocculation effect and a reduction in TTHMs and HAAS not seen with traditional chlorination. In fact, these sites were unable to chlorinate in pre-treatment due to excessive TTHM formation.

Although they are adding more oxidant overall due to dual injection points—they see an overall decrease in DBP formation.

## CONCLUSION

As the USEPA enforces the implementation of new DBP limits, utilities will need to investigate the best technology for their site. Several behavioral differences between mixed oxidants and other chlorine technologies, including superior inactivation capability and reduced formation of DBPs, indicate the mixed-oxidant solution is more than just hypochlorite generated on-site.

There are several explanations for decreased TTHM and HAAS formation, including the elimination of biofilm, improved chlorine residual at a reduced dosage, and micro flocculation to remove precursors. These explanations are supported by operational evidence from numerous field installations.

In implementation guidelines for the Stage 1 D /DBP Rule, the USEPA describes a Best Available Technology (BAT) for users of chlorine, chloramines, and chlorine dioxide as "control of treatment processes to reduce disinfectant demand and control of disinfection treatment processes to reduce disinfectant levels. Mixed oxidants alone, without the use of any other chemicals or treatment techniques, are shown to both reduce disinfectant demand and overall chemical usage. Although current analytical techniques can detect only chlorine in the mixed-oxidant solution, chlorine alone cannot account for the elimination of biofilm, reduced doses, and lower levels of DBPs that mixed-oxidant installations report the operational improvements of treatment with mixed oxidants are well documented and should be taken into consideration by any site designing or redesigning their disinfection process.

Subtract of Water Conditioning & Purification Magazine International (December 2002): p24-27.

\*\* END \*\*

